

# The power of Lambda calculus and Types

Exercises for EWSCS 2016

Herman Geuvers

In each exercise, the number of †'s indicates the level.

1. † (In this exercise, = should be read as =<sub>CL</sub>.) Use the *definable abstraction in CL* to construct in each item a term  $P$  of CL with as few variables as possible such that:

$$P y = y x \quad (1)$$

$$P x y = y x \quad (2)$$

$$P x y = y \quad (3)$$

$$P x = x (x x) \quad (4)$$

$$P x y = x x x \quad (5)$$

$$P x y = x y y \quad (6)$$

$$P x y = x (y y). \quad (7)$$

2. †† (In this exercise, = should be read as =<sub>CL</sub>.) Use the Fixed point theorem for CL to construct in each item a term  $Q$  of CL without variables such that:

$$Q = Q \mathbf{K} \quad (8)$$

$$Q x = x Q \quad (9)$$

$$Q = Q \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I} \quad (10)$$

$$Q x = Q x x. \quad (11)$$

3. †† If we add to CL the axiom  $P = Q$ , and we can then prove that  $U = V$ , we write

$$P = Q \vdash U = V.$$

Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{K} &\vdash x = y \\ \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{S} &\vdash x = y \\ \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{S} &\vdash x = y. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) We assume that  $\not\vdash x = y$ , or in a different notation  $x \neq_{\text{CL}} y$ . (This is true, but we don't prove it.) From this, we immediately get, using (a), that

$$\mathbf{I} \neq \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{I} \neq \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{S}.$$

Prove that  $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{K} \neq \mathbf{K}$ .

- (c) Prove that there are no terms  $F, G$  (without variables) such that

$$F(x y) = x, G(x y) = y.$$

[Hint. From the outcome of a computation  $P A$  one cannot see what the original argument and the function have been. For example,  $0 \times 1 = 0 \times 2$  en  $2^2 = \sqrt{16}$ .]

4. † except for (v) which is ††. (In this exercise, = should be read as =<sub>β</sub>.) Write down for each item a term  $F \in \Lambda$ , with a minimal number of variables, satisfying:

$$\text{(i)} \quad F x = x (x x)$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad F x = x \mathbf{I}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad F x y = y x$$

$$\text{(iv)} \quad F x = y x$$

$$\text{(v)} \quad F x = x F \mathbf{I}.$$

Use the Fixed Point Theorem in (v)

5. †† Let  $W \equiv \lambda xy.xyy$ . Draw  $\mathcal{G}(WWW)$ .  
[Hint. This graph consists of exactly four terms.]

6. ††† (Exercise of [Jan Willem Klop])  
Let  $Y = LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLL$ , where

$$L = \lambda abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyzr.r \text{ (this is a fixed point combinator).}$$

Show that for all  $F \in \Lambda$  one has  $YF = F(YF)$ . (So  $Y$  is a fixed point combinator.)

7. ††† Show that  $Y$  is a fixed point of **SI** implies that  $Y$  is a fixed point combinator.  
8. (a) †† Use the fixed-point combinator to write down precisely a lambda term  $M$  such that

$$Mx = xMx.$$

Can you make it satisfy  $Mx \rightarrow xMx$ ?

- (b) † (Turing) Consider the term  $\Theta := (\lambda xy.y(xxy))(\lambda xy.y(xxy))$ . Show that  $\Theta$  is a *reducing fixed point combinator*, that is:

$$\Theta F \rightarrow F(\Theta F) \text{ for all } F.$$

9. Remember that we can represent a natural number  $n$  as the lambda term  $\mathbf{c}_n$ , its so called *Church numeral*:

$$\mathbf{c}_n \equiv \lambda fa.f^n a,$$

where  $f^n a$  denotes  $n$ -fold application of  $f$  on  $a$ . Define

$$A_\times \equiv \lambda nmfa.n(mf)a.$$

- (a) † Show that  $A_\times \mathbf{c}_2 \mathbf{c}_3 = \mathbf{c}_6$ ;  
(b) †† Show that  $A_\times \mathbf{c}_n \mathbf{c}_m = \mathbf{c}_{n \times m}$ .  
10. Remember the data type of (binary) trees from the lecture. Define the map  $f$  on trees as follows:  $f(t)$  is obtained from  $t$  by replacing everywhere  $l$  by  $jl(jll)$ .  
(a) † Draw the trees  $t_1, t_2, f(t_1)$  and  $f(t_2)$ , with  $t_1 := jll, t_2 := j(jll)l$ .  
(b) Give the representation as a  $\lambda$ -term of the trees in (i).  
(c) †† Construct a  $\lambda$ -term  $F$  such that for all trees  $t$

$$F \ulcorner t \urcorner = \ulcorner f(t) \urcorner.$$

11. Consider the function  $h$  that counts the number of nodes in a tree (where we count a leaf also as a node), so

$$\begin{aligned} h(jll) &= 3 \\ h(jl(jll)) &= 5 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) † Write down a recursive definition for  $h$ , that is fill in

$$\begin{aligned} h(l) &= \dots \\ h(jt_1t_2) &= \dots h(t_1) \dots h(t_2) \dots \end{aligned}$$

- (b) †† Construct a term  $H$  that  $\lambda$ -defines  $h$ , that is

$$H \ulcorner t \urcorner = \ulcorner h(t) \urcorner$$

for all trees  $t$ .

12. (a) †† Given is the data type **Nat** with  $z : \mathbf{Nat}$ ,  $s : \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nat}$ .  
Write down the codes (following Böhm, Guerrini, Piperno) of

$$2 = s(sz), 3 = s(s(sz)).$$

- (b) †† Predecessor on **Nat** can be defined recursively:

$$\begin{aligned} p(0) &= 0 \\ p(n+1) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

Using the theory you learned, construct a term  $P$  of the form  $\langle\langle B_1, B_2 \rangle\rangle$ , to act on codes of **Nat**, such that

$$\begin{aligned} P(\ulcorner z \urcorner) &= \ulcorner 0 \urcorner \\ P(\ulcorner sn \urcorner) &= \ulcorner n \urcorner. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) † Verify  $P\ulcorner 3 \urcorner = \ulcorner 2 \urcorner$ .

13. (a) † Given is **Tree**, the data type with

$$l : \mathbf{Tree}, j : \mathbf{Tree}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{Tree}.$$

Write down the codes (following BGP) of

$$t_1 = j(jll)l \quad \text{and} \quad t_2 = jl(jll).$$

- (b) †† Write down a  $\lambda$ -term  $F = \langle\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle\rangle$  (to act on codes of **Tree**) such that

$$\begin{aligned} F\ulcorner l \urcorner &= l \\ F\ulcorner jts \urcorner &= \ulcorner jts \urcorner. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) † Verify for the  $F$  you found that indeed  $F\ulcorner jl(jll) \urcorner = \ulcorner jl(jl(jll)) \urcorner$ .

14. † Check the statement on Slide 10 of the course slides, that

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathbf{Var} x) &=_{\beta} A_1 x H \\ H(\mathbf{App} xy) &=_{\beta} A_2 x y H \\ H(\mathbf{Abs} x) &=_{\beta} A_3 x H \end{aligned}$$

if we take  $H = \langle\langle B_1, B_2, B_3 \rangle\rangle$  with

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &:= \lambda x z. A_1 x \langle z \rangle \\ B_2 &:= \lambda x y z. A_2 xy \langle z \rangle \\ B_3 &:= \lambda x z. A_3 x \langle z \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

and **Var**, **App** and **Abs** as on the slides. (Verify 2 of the equations for  $H$ .)

15. ††† Show that there is no term  $F$  such that

$$F(MN) =_{\beta} N \text{ for all terms } M, N.$$

16. Remember the definitions of  $\mathbf{T} := \lambda xy.x(\equiv \mathbf{K})$  and  $\mathbf{F} = \lambda xy.y(=_{\beta} \mathbf{KI})$ .

- (a) †† Construct a  $\lambda$ -term  $G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} G\ulcorner x \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \\ G\ulcorner PQ \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \\ G\ulcorner \lambda x.P \urcorner &= \mathbf{F}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) †† Construct a  $\lambda$ -term  $V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} V \ulcorner x \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \\ V \ulcorner PQ \urcorner &= V \ulcorner P \urcorner \\ V \ulcorner \lambda x.P \urcorner &= \mathbf{F}. \end{aligned}$$

17. In the previous exercise you were asked to give terms completely. Now we just need show these terms exists, using the Recursion Theorem II of the slides.

(a) † Show that there is a  $\lambda$ -term  $G$  that checks whether a term is an abstraction, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} G \ulcorner x \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \\ G \ulcorner PQ \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \\ G \ulcorner \lambda x.P \urcorner &= \mathbf{T}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) ††† Show that there is a term  $R$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} R \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \text{ if } M \text{ is a redex} \\ R \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \text{ if } M \text{ is not a redex} \end{aligned}$$

(A redex is a term of the shape  $(\lambda x.P)Q$ .)

(c) ††† Show that there is a  $\lambda$ -term  $\text{Norm}$  that checks if a term is in *normal form*, so

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Norm} \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \text{ if } M \text{ is in normal form} \\ \text{Norm} \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \text{ if } M \text{ is not in normal form} \end{aligned}$$

(A term is *in normal form* if it contains no redex.)

(d) † You may need to define a conjunction  $\&$  on Booleans first satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \& \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{T} \\ \& \mathbf{T} \mathbf{F} &= \mathbf{F} \\ \& \mathbf{F} \mathbf{T} &= \mathbf{F} \\ \& \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F} &= \mathbf{F} \end{aligned}$$

18. (a) ††† Show that there is no term  $H'$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} H' \ulcorner M \urcorner \ulcorner N \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \text{ if } MN \text{ has a normal form} \\ H' \ulcorner M \urcorner \ulcorner N \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \text{ if } MN \text{ has no normal form} \end{aligned}$$

Hint: Show that, if  $H'$  exists, then we can also define the term  $B$  that solves the “blank tape” problem. (See course slides.)

(b) ††† Show that there is no term  $T$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} T \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{T} \text{ if } MN \text{ has a normal form for all } N \\ T \ulcorner M \urcorner &= \mathbf{F} \text{ if } MN \text{ has no normal form for some } N \end{aligned}$$

Hint: Again, we can reduce  $B$  to  $T$ .

19. †††† Show that there is a term  $C$  that contracts the *left-most redex* in a term  $M$ , so

$$C \ulcorner M \urcorner = \ulcorner N \urcorner$$

if  $N$  arises from  $M$  by contracting the left-most redex, if  $M$  contains a redex, and otherwise  $N \equiv M$ .