

# Dialgebra-inspired Syntax for Dependent Inductive and Coinductive Types

Henning Basold  
Radboud University, Nijmegen and CWI, Amsterdam  
Joint work with Herman Geuvers

TYPES Meeting  
18 May 2014

# Outline

1 Type and Term Formation

2 Computation

1 Type and Term Formation

2 Computation

# Judgements

- ▶ Type  $B$  with type variables in  $\Delta$ , term variables in  $\Gamma$  and applicable to terms  $\Gamma \vdash t_i : B_i[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_{i-1}/x_{i-1}]$ :

$$\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash B : (x_1 : A_1) \rightarrow \dots (x_m : A_m) \rightarrow *$$

- ▶ Term  $t$  with term variables in  $\Gamma$  and applicable to terms  $\Gamma \vdash t_i : B_i[t_1/x_1, \dots, t_{i-1}/x_{i-1}]$ :

$$\Gamma \vdash t : (x_1 : A_1) \rightarrow \dots (x_m : A_m) \rightarrow C$$

- ▶ Judgements for contexts, which ensure strict positivity

# Type Formation

$$\frac{\vdash \Delta \mid \Gamma \text{ ctx}}{\Delta, X : \bar{\Gamma}(*), \emptyset \vdash X : \bar{\Gamma}(*)} \text{ (TyVar-I)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash A : (x : B) \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash A t : T[t/x]} \text{ (App-Ty)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, X : \bar{\Gamma}(*), \Gamma', \Gamma_k \vdash A_k : * \quad f_k : \Gamma_k \triangleright \Gamma \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad \rho \in \{\mu, \nu\}}{\Delta \mid \Gamma' \vdash \rho(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) : \bar{\Gamma}(*)}$$

where

- ▶  $\Gamma = x_1 : B_1, \dots, x_m : B_m$ ,
- ▶  $f_k = f_{k,1} \cdots f_{k,m}$  is a sequence of terms in context  $\Gamma_k$ , and
- ▶  $\bar{\Gamma}(*), \rho \in \{\mu, \nu\}$

# Type Formation

$$\frac{\vdash \Delta \mid \Gamma \text{ ctx}}{\Delta, X : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast) \mid \emptyset \vdash X : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast)} \text{ (TyVar-I)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash A : (x : B) \rightarrow T \quad \Gamma \vdash t : B}{\Delta \mid \Gamma \vdash A t : T[t/x]} \text{ (App-Ty)}$$

$$\frac{\Delta, X : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast) \mid \Gamma', \Gamma_k \vdash A_k : \ast \quad f_k : \Gamma_k \triangleright \Gamma \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad \rho \in \{\mu, \nu\}}{\Delta \mid \Gamma' \vdash \rho(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast)}$$

where

- ▶  $\Gamma = x_1 : B_1, \dots, x_m : B_m,$
- ▶  $f_k = f_{k,1} \cdots f_{k,m}$  is a sequence of terms in context  $\Gamma_k,$  and
- ▶  $\bar{\Gamma}(\ast) = (x_1 : B_1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (x_m : B_m) \rightarrow \ast.$

# Type Formation

## Example (Vectors)

- ▶  $\Gamma = n : \mathbb{N}$
- ▶  $\text{Vec } A = \mu(X; (f_1, f_2); (\mathbf{1}, A \times X k)) : (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow *$

with

$$\Gamma_1 = \emptyset$$

$$\Gamma_2 = k : \mathbb{N}$$

$$f_1 = (0) : \Gamma_1 \triangleright \Gamma$$

$$f_2 = (k + 1) : \Gamma_2 \triangleright \Gamma$$

$$X : (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow * \mid \Gamma_1 \vdash \mathbf{1} : *$$

$$X : (n : \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow * \mid \Gamma_2 \vdash (A \times X k) : *$$

# Term formation rules I

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A : *}{\Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A} \text{ (Proj)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : (x : A) \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash s : A}{\Gamma \vdash ts : B[s/x]} \text{ (App)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash \mu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) : \bar{\Gamma}(*)}{\Gamma' \vdash \alpha_k : \bar{\Gamma}_k \left( A_k \{ \mu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) / X \} \rightarrow \mu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) f_k \right)} \text{ (Ind-I)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash \nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) : \bar{\Gamma}(*)}{\Gamma' \vdash \xi_k : \bar{\Gamma}_k \left( \nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) f_k \rightarrow A_k \{ \nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) / X \} \right)} \text{ (Coind-E)}$$



## Term formation rules II

Let  $\Gamma = x_1 : B_1, \dots, x_m : B_m$ , so that  $\vec{x} : \Gamma \triangleright \Gamma$ .

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash C : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast) \quad \Gamma', \Gamma_k, y : A_k\{C/X\} \vdash g_k : (C f_k)}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{rec } \vec{g} : \bar{\Gamma}(\mu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) \vec{x} \rightarrow C \vec{x})} \text{ (Ind-E)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma' \vdash C : \bar{\Gamma}(\ast) \quad \Gamma', \Gamma_k, y : (C f_k) \vdash g_k : A_k\{C/X\}}{\Gamma' \vdash \text{corec } \vec{g} : \bar{\Gamma}(C \vec{x} \rightarrow \nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) \vec{x})} \text{ (Coind-I)}$$

+ Weakening, contraction and exchange.

## Example: Product type I

### Example

Let  $\Gamma = x_1 : C_1, \dots, x_n : C_n$  and  $\pi_A = \varepsilon$  (empty sequence)

$$\frac{- : * \mid \Gamma, x : A \vdash B : * \quad \pi_A : (x : A) \triangleright \emptyset}{\Gamma \vdash \nu(-; \pi_A; B) : *} \text{ (FP-Ty)}$$

Notation:  $\Pi x : A. B := \nu(-; \pi_A; B)$

### Example ( $\lambda$ -Abstraction)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash g : B}{\Gamma, x : A, - : \mathbf{1} \vdash g : B} \text{ (Weaken)}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{corec } g : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow \Pi x : A. B} \text{ (Coind-I)} \quad \langle \rangle : \mathbf{1} \text{ (App)} \\ \Gamma \vdash \text{corec } g \langle \rangle : \Pi x : A. B$$

Notation:  $\lambda x. g := \text{corec } g \langle \rangle$ .

## Example: Product type II

### Example (Application)

Note that  $B\{\Pi x : A.B/_-\} = B$ .

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \vdash \xi : (x : A) \rightarrow (\Pi x : A.B) \pi_A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash a : A}{\Gamma \vdash \xi a : \Pi x : A.B \rightarrow B[a/x]} \text{ (App)}}{\Gamma \vdash \xi a (\lambda x.g) : B[a/x]} \text{ (App)}$$

## Example (Extended Naturals)

- ▶  $\mathbb{N}^\infty = \nu(X; \varepsilon; (\mathbf{1} + X)) : *$
- ▶ No dependencies:  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 = \emptyset$
- ▶ Successor:  $s_\infty = \text{corec inr} : \mathbb{N}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\infty$

## Example (Partial Streams)

- ▶  $\Gamma = n : \mathbb{N}^\infty$
- ▶  $\text{PStr}(A) = \nu(X; (s_\infty k, s_\infty k); (A, X k)) : (n : \mathbb{N}^\infty) \rightarrow *$
- ▶ with  $\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_2 = k : \mathbb{N}^\infty$
- ▶ In a more readable style:

**codata**  $\text{PStr}(A : \mathbf{Set}) : \mathbb{N}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  **where**

$\text{hd} : (k : \mathbb{N}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{PStr}(s_\infty k) \rightarrow A$

$\text{tl} : (k : \mathbb{N}^\infty) \rightarrow \text{PStr}(s_\infty k) \rightarrow \text{PStr } k$

1 Type and Term Formation

2 Computation

## Computation

- ▶ Define action of types on terms:

$$\frac{X_1 : \overline{\Gamma}_1(*), \dots, X_n : \overline{\Gamma}_n(*) \mid \Gamma \vdash T : * \quad \Gamma_i, x : A_i \vdash t_i : B_i}{\Gamma, x : F_T(\vec{A}) \vdash F_T(\vec{t}) : F_T(\vec{B})}$$

where  $F_T(\vec{A}) = T\{\vec{A}/\vec{X}\}$ .

# Computation

- Define action of types on terms:

$$\frac{X_1 : \overline{\Gamma}_1(*), \dots, X_n : \overline{\Gamma}_n(*) \mid \Gamma \vdash T : * \quad \Gamma_i, x : A_i \vdash t_i : B_i}{\Gamma, x : F_T(\vec{A}) \vdash F_T(\vec{t}) : F_T(\vec{B})}$$

where  $F_T(\vec{A}) = T\{\vec{A}/\vec{X}\}$ .

- Define reduction as compatible closure of

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rec } \vec{g} (f_k \bullet \vec{t}) (\alpha_k \vec{t} u) &\longrightarrow g_k [\vec{t}/\vec{z}_k, F_{A_k}(\text{rec } \vec{g} \vec{t} x') [u/x'] / y] \\ \xi_k \vec{t} (\text{corec } \vec{g} (f_k \bullet \vec{t}) u) &\longrightarrow F_{A_k}(\text{corec } \vec{g} \vec{t} x') [g_k [\vec{t}/\vec{z}_k, u/y] / x'] \end{aligned}$$

Essentially from (in context  $\Gamma_k$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C f_k & \xrightarrow{\text{corec } \vec{g} f_k x'} & \nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A}) f_k \\ \downarrow g_k & & \downarrow \xi_k \vec{z}_k \\ A_k\{C/X\} & \xrightarrow{F_{A_k}(\text{corec } \vec{g} f_k x')} & A_k\{\nu(X; \vec{f}; \vec{A})/X\} \end{array}$$

## Example ( $\beta$ -reduction)

$$\begin{aligned}\xi a(\lambda x.g) &= \xi a(\text{corec } g \langle \rangle) \\ &\longrightarrow F_B(\text{corec } g \ x') [g[a/x, \langle \rangle / -] / x'] \\ &= x' [g[a/x, \langle \rangle / -] / x'] \\ &= g[a/x]\end{aligned}\quad \text{fv}(B) = \emptyset$$



## Conclusion etc.

- ▶ Subject reduction follows from correct typing of  $F_T$
- ▶ How about confluence, progress and strong normalisation?
- ▶ Crucial weakening of Agda's type system: Destructors cannot refer to each other. Instead, sum types are implemented as

**data**  $\Sigma$  (A : **Set**) (B : A  $\rightarrow$  **Set**) : **Set** **where**  
  **in** : (a : A)  $\rightarrow$  B a  $\rightarrow$   $\Sigma$  A B

and we need dependent recursion to get strong elimination.

- ▶ Otherwise, the type system treats inductive and coinductive types on a par, with immediate semantics over data type complete categories.

Thank you very much  
for your attention.