

# Reactive Systems: Modelling, Specification and Verification

EWSCS'07

Anna Ingólfssdóttir  
Reykjavik University, Iceland

# Focus of the Course

- Study of mathematical models for the formal description and analysis of programs.
- Study of formal languages for the specification of program behaviour.
- Particular focus on parallel and reactive systems.
- Verification tools and implementation techniques underlying them.

# Overview of the Course

- Transition systems and CCS.
- Strong and weak bisimilarity, bisimulation games.
- Hennessy-Milner logic and bisimulation.
- Tarski's fixed-point theorem.
- Hennessy-Milner logic with recursively defined formulae.

# Aims of the Course

Present a general theory of **reactive systems** and its applications.

The theory supports:

- Design.
- Specification.
- Verification (possibly automatic and compositional).

## Aims

- 1 Give the students practice in modelling parallel systems in a formal framework.
- 2 Give the students skills in analyzing behaviours of reactive systems.
- 3 Introduce algorithms and tools based on the modelling formalisms.

# Aims of the Course

Present a general theory of **reactive systems** and its applications.  
The theory supports:

- Design.
- Specification.
- Verification (possibly automatic and compositional).

## Aims

- 1 Give the students practice in modelling parallel systems in a formal framework.
- 2 Give the students skills in analyzing behaviours of reactive systems.
- 3 Introduce algorithms and tools based on the modelling formalisms.

# Aims of the Course

Present a general theory of **reactive systems** and its applications.  
The theory supports:

- Design.
- Specification.
- Verification (possibly automatic and compositional).

## Aims

- 1 Give the students practice in modelling parallel systems in a formal framework.
- 2 Give the students skills in analyzing behaviours of reactive systems.
- 3 Introduce algorithms and tools based on the modelling formalisms.

# Aims of the Course

Present a general theory of **reactive systems** and its applications.  
The theory supports:

- Design.
- Specification.
- Verification (possibly automatic and compositional).

## Aims

- 1 Give the students practice in modelling parallel systems in a formal framework.
- 2 Give the students skills in analyzing behaviours of reactive systems.
- 3 Introduce algorithms and tools based on the modelling formalisms.

## Characterization of a Classical Program

Program transforms an input into an output.

- Denotational semantics:  
a meaning of a program is a partial function

$$states \hookrightarrow states$$

- **Nontermination is bad!**
- In case of termination, the result is unique.

Is this all we need?



What about:

- Operating systems?
- Communication protocols?
- Control programs?
- Mobile phones?
- Vending machines?

## Characterization of a Reactive System

**Reactive System** = system that computes by reacting to stimuli from its environment.

Key Issues:

- communication and interaction
- parallelism

Nontermination is good!

The result (if any) does not have to be unique.

## Characterization of a Reactive System

**Reactive System** = system that computes by reacting to stimuli from its environment.

Key Issues:

- communication and interaction
- parallelism

**Nontermination is good!**

The result (if any) does not have to be unique.

## Questions

- How can we develop (design) a system that "works"?
- How do we analyze (verify) such a system?

## Fact of Life

Even short parallel programs may be hard to analyze.

## Conclusion

We need formal/systematic methods (tools), otherwise ...

- Intel's Pentium-II bug in floating-point division unit
- Ariane-5 crash due to a conversion of 64-bit real to 16-bit integer
- Mars Pathfinder
- ...

# Classical vs. Reactive Computing

	Classical	Reactive/Parallel
interaction	no	yes
nontermination	undesirable	often desirable
unique result	yes	no
semantics	$states \hookrightarrow states$	?

## Question

What is the most abstract view of a reactive system (process)?

# How to Model Reactive Systems

## Question

What is the most abstract view of a reactive system (process)?

## Answer

A process performs an action and becomes another process.



## Definition

A **labelled transition system** (LTS) is a triple

$$(Proc, Act, \{\xrightarrow{a} \mid a \in Act\})$$

where

- $Proc$  is a set of **states** (or **processes**),
- $Act$  is a set of **labels** (or **actions**), and
- $\xrightarrow{a} \subseteq Proc \times Proc$  is a binary relation on states called the **transition relation**, for each  $a \in Act$ .

We will use the infix notation  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  meaning that  $(s, s') \in \xrightarrow{a}$ .

Sometimes we distinguish an **initial** (or **start**) state.

# Keyword: Interaction!

LTSes describe process behaviour, and explicitly focus on *interaction*.

The Motto (after Tony Hoare and Robin Milner)

Everything is (or can be viewed as) a process!

*Buffers, shared memory, Linda tuple spaces, senders, receivers, . . . are all **agents/processes**.*

Let  $(Proc, Act, \{\xrightarrow{a} \mid a \in Act\})$  be an LTS.

- We extend  $\xrightarrow{a}$  to the elements of  $Act^*$ .
- $\longrightarrow = \bigcup_{a \in Act} \xrightarrow{a}$
- $\longrightarrow^*$  is the **reflexive and transitive closure** of  $\longrightarrow$ . (Do you know what this means?)
- $s \xrightarrow{a}$  and  $s \not\xrightarrow{a}$ .
- Reachable states.

# How to Describe LTSes?

Syntax

unknown entity



Semantics

known entity

programming language



what (denotational) or  
how (operational) it computes

???



Labelled Transition Systems

CCS (Milner 1980)

# How to Describe LTSes?

Syntax

unknown entity



Semantics

known entity

programming language



what (denotational) or  
how (operational) it computes

???



Labelled Transition Systems

CCS (Milner 1980)

# How to Describe LTSes?

Syntax

unknown entity



Semantics

known entity

programming language



what (denotational) or  
how (operational) it computes

???



Labelled Transition Systems

CCS (Milner 1980)

# How to Describe LTSes?

Syntax

unknown entity



Semantics

known entity

programming language



what (denotational) or  
how (operational) it computes

???



Labelled Transition Systems

CCS (Milner 1980)

## CCS

Process algebra called “Calculus of Communicating Systems”.

Insight of Robin Milner (1980, developed from earlier work)

Concurrent (parallel) processes have an algebraic structure.

$$\boxed{P_1} \text{ op } \boxed{P_2} \Rightarrow \boxed{P_1 \text{ op } P_2}$$



## Basic Principle

- 1 Define a few **atomic processes** (modelling the simplest process behaviour).
- 2 Define **new composition operations** (building more complex process behaviour from simpler ones).

## Example

- 1 atomic instruction: assignment (e.g.  $x:=2$  and  $x:=x+2$ )
- 2 new operators:
  - sequential composition ( $P_1; P_2$ )
  - parallel composition ( $P_1 \parallel P_2$ )

Now e.g.  $(x:=1 \parallel x:=2); x:=x+2; (x:=x-1 \parallel x:=x+5)$  is a process.

## Basic Principle

- 1 Define a few **atomic processes** (modelling the simplest process behaviour).
- 2 Define **new composition operations** (building more complex process behaviour from simpler ones).

## Example

- 1 atomic instruction: assignment (e.g.  $x:=2$  and  $x:=x+2$ )
- 2 new operators:
  - sequential composition ( $P_1; P_2$ )
  - parallel composition ( $P_1 \parallel P_2$ )

Now e.g.  $(x:=1 \parallel x:=2); x:=x+2; (x:=x-1 \parallel x:=x+5)$  is a process.

## What is a CCS Process to its Environment?

A CCS process is a computing agent that may communicate with its environment via its interface.

Interface = Collection of **communication ports/channels**, together with an indication of whether they are used for input or output.

## Example: A Computer Scientist

Process interface:  $\text{coffee}$  (input port)  
 $\overline{\text{coin}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{pub}}$  (output ports)

Question: How do we describe the behaviour of the “black-box”?

## What is a CCS Process to its Environment?

A CCS process is a computing agent that may communicate with its environment via its interface.

Interface = Collection of **communication ports/channels**, together with an indication of whether they are used for input or output.

## Example: A Computer Scientist

Process interface:  $\text{coffee}$  (input port)  
 $\overline{\text{coin}}$ ,  $\overline{\text{pub}}$  (output ports)

Question: How do we describe the behaviour of the “black-box”?

# CCS Basics (Sequential Fragment)

- *Nil* (or 0) process (the only atomic process)
- action prefixing ( $a.P$ )
- names and recursive definitions ( $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ )
- nondeterministic choice ( $+$ )

This is Enough to Describe Sequential Processes

Any finite LTS can be described (up to isomorphism) by using the operations above.

# CCS Basics (Sequential Fragment)

- *Nil* (or 0) process (the only atomic process)
- action prefixing ( $a.P$ )
- names and recursive definitions ( $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ )
- nondeterministic choice ( $+$ )

## This is Enough to Describe Sequential Processes

Any finite LTS can be described (up to isomorphism) by using the operations above.