

Monads and Interaction

Lecture 2bis (not given)

Tarmo Uustalu, Reykjavik University

MGS 2021, Sheffield, UK, 12–16 April 2021

Compatible compositions of monads

Compatible compositions of monads

- A *compatible composition* of two monads (T_0, η_0, μ_0) , (T_1, η_1, μ_1) is a monad structure (η, μ) on $T = T_0 \cdot T_1$ satisfying

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \eta_0 \cdot \eta_1 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \text{Id} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1} & T_1 \\ \downarrow \eta_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot \eta_0 \cdot T_1 & & \downarrow \eta_0 \cdot T_1 \\ T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_0 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\mu_0} & T_0 \\ \downarrow T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot \eta_1 & & \downarrow T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \\ T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & T_0 \cdot T_1 & \\ & \swarrow \eta_0 \cdot \eta_1 \cdot \eta_0 \cdot T_1 & \searrow \eta_0 \cdot T_1 \\ T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \end{array}$$

- Equations 1-3 say just that $T_0 \cdot \eta_1$ and $\eta_0 \cdot T_1$ are monad morphisms between (T_0, η_0, μ_0) resp. (T_1, η_1, μ_1) and (T, η, μ) . Equation 1 fixes that $\eta = \eta_0 \cdot \eta_1$; so there is some freedom only about μ .
- Equation 4 is called *middle unitality*.

Distributive laws of monads

- A *distributive law* of a monad (T_1, η_1, μ_1) over (T_0, η_0, μ_0) is a natural transformation $\theta : T_1 \cdot T_0 \rightarrow T_0 \cdot T_1$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T_1 & \\
 T_1 \cdot \eta_0 \swarrow & & \searrow \eta_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T_0 & \\
 \eta_1 \cdot T_0 \swarrow & & \searrow T_0 \cdot \eta_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta \cdot T_0} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{T_0 \cdot \theta} & T_0 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 \downarrow T_1 \cdot \mu_0 & & & & \downarrow \mu_0 \cdot T_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T_1 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{T_1 \cdot \theta} & T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 & \xrightarrow{\theta \cdot T_1} & T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_1 \\
 \downarrow \mu_1 \cdot T_0 & & & & \downarrow T_0 \cdot \mu_1 \\
 T_1 \cdot T_0 & \xrightarrow{\theta} & T_0 \cdot T_1 & &
 \end{array}$$

Compatible compositions = distributive laws

- Compatible compositions of (T_0, η_0, μ_0) , (T_1, η_1, μ_1) are in a bijection with distributive laws of (T_1, η_1, μ_1) over (T_0, η_0, μ_0) .
- Given μ , one recovers θ by

$$\theta = T_1 \cdot T_0 \xrightarrow{\eta_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot \eta_1} T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{\mu} T_0 \cdot T_1$$

- Given θ , μ is defined by

$$\mu = T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{T_0 \cdot \theta \cdot T_1} T_0 \cdot T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_1 \xrightarrow{\mu_0 \cdot \mu_1} T_0 \cdot T_1$$

Algebras of compatible compositions

- Given a distributive law θ , a θ -pair of algebras is given by a set X with a (T_0, η_0, μ_0) -algebra structure (X, ξ_0) and a (T_1, η_1, μ_1) -algebra structure (X, ξ_1) such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 T_1 X & \xrightarrow{\xi_1} & X & \xleftarrow{\xi_0} & T_0 X \\
 \uparrow T_1 \xi_0 & & & & \uparrow T_0 \xi_1 \\
 T_1(T_0 X) & \xrightarrow{\theta_X} & & & T_0(T_1 X)
 \end{array}$$

- Such pairs of algebras are in a bijection with (T, η, μ) -algebras.
- Given ξ_0, ξ_1 , one constructs ξ as
 - $\xi = T_0(T_1 X) \xrightarrow{T_0 \xi_1} T_0 X \xrightarrow{\xi_0} X$.
- Given ξ , one defines ξ_0 and ξ_1 by
 - $\xi_0 = T_0 X \xrightarrow{T_0 \eta_1 X} T_0(T_1 X) \xrightarrow{\xi} X$,
 - $\xi_1 = T_1 X \xrightarrow{\eta_0 T_1 X} T_0(T_1 X) \xrightarrow{\xi} X$.

Distributive laws of exceptions monads

- Suppose \mathcal{C} has finite coproducts.
- The exceptions monad for E distributes in a unique way over any monad (T_0, η_0, μ_0) .
- $\theta_X : E + T_0X \rightarrow T_0(E + X)$
 $\theta(\text{inl } e) = \eta_0(\text{inl } e),$
 $\theta(\text{inr } c) = T_0 \text{inr}$
- So we have a unique monad structure on $TX = T_0(E + X)$ compatible with the two monads.

Distributive laws of writer monads

- Suppose \mathcal{C} has finite products.
- There is a distributive law of the writer monad for (P, \circ, \oplus) over any strong monad (T_0, η_0, μ_0) .
- $\theta : P \times T_0 X \rightarrow T_0(P \times X)$
 $\theta(p, c) = \text{st}(p, c)$
- This gives a monad structure on $TX = T_0(P \times X)$ compatible with the two monads.

- This example generalizes to any monoidal category.

Distr. laws of writer monads over reader monads

- Suppose \mathcal{C} is Cartesian closed.
- A *right action* of a monoid (P, \circ, \oplus) on an object S is a map $\downarrow : S \times P \rightarrow S$ satisfying $s \downarrow \circ = s$, $s \downarrow (p \oplus p') = (s \downarrow p) \downarrow p'$.
- Distributive laws of the writer monad for (P, \circ, \oplus) over the reader monad for S are in a bijection with right actions of (P, \circ, \oplus) on S .
- The compatible composition of the two monads determined by a right action \downarrow is
 - $T X = S \Rightarrow P \times X$
 - $\eta x = \lambda s. (\circ, x)$
 - $\mu f = \lambda s. \text{let } (p, g) = f s$
 $(p', x) = g (s \downarrow p)$
 in $(p \oplus p', x)$
- the *update monad* for S , (P, \circ, \oplus) , \downarrow .
- This example generalizes to any monoidal closed category.

State logging

- Take S to be some object (of states).
- Take $P = \text{List } S$, $o = []$, $\oplus = ++$ (state logs).

- $s \downarrow [] = s$

$$s \downarrow (s' :: ss) = s' \downarrow ss$$

(so $s \downarrow ss$ is the last element of $s :: ss$)

Reading a stack and popping

- Take $S = \text{List } E$ (states of a stack for some object E of elements).
- Take $P = \text{Nat}$, $o = 0$, $\oplus = +$ (possible numbers of elements to pop).
- $es \downarrow n = \text{removelast } n \text{ es}$.

Reading a stack and pushing

- Take again $S = \text{List } E$.
- Take $P = \text{List } E$, $o = []$, $\oplus = ++$ (lists of elements to push on the stack).
- $es \downarrow es' = es ++ es'$.
- (So here we choose (S, \downarrow) to be the initial (P, o, \oplus) -set—which is always a possibility.)

Matching pairs of monoid actions

- Suppose \mathcal{C} has finite products.
- A *matching pair of actions* of two monoids (P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0) and (P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1) on each other is pair of maps $\searrow : P_1 \times P_0 \rightarrow P_0$ and $\swarrow : P_1 \times P_0 \rightarrow P_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \circ_1 \searrow p_0 = p_0 \\
 & (p_1 \oplus_1 p'_1) \searrow p_0 = p_1 \searrow (p'_1 \searrow p_0) \\
 & p_1 \searrow \circ_0 = \circ_0 \\
 & p_1 \searrow (p_0 \oplus_0 p'_0) = (p_1 \searrow p_0) \oplus_0 ((p_1 \swarrow p_0) \searrow p'_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & p_1 \swarrow \circ_0 = p_1 \\
 & p_1 \swarrow (p_0 \oplus_0 p'_0) = (p_1 \swarrow p_0) \swarrow p'_0 \\
 & \circ_1 \swarrow p_0 = \circ_1 \\
 & (p_1 \oplus_1 p'_1) \swarrow p_0 = (p_1 \swarrow (p'_1 \searrow p_0)) \oplus_1 (p'_1 \swarrow p_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

Zappa-Szép product of monoids

- A Zappa-Szép product (aka knit product, bicrossed product, bilateral semidirect product) of two monoids (P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0) and (P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1) is a monoid structure (\circ, \oplus) on $P = P_0 \times P_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \circ &= (\circ_0, \circ_1) \\ (p, \circ_1) \oplus (p', \circ_1) &= (p \oplus_0 p', \circ_1) \\ (\circ_0, p) \oplus (\circ_0, p') &= (\circ_0, p \oplus_1 p') \\ (p, \circ_1) \oplus (\circ_0, p') &= (p, p') \end{aligned}$$

- Zappa-Szép products of (P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0) and (P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1) are in a bijective correspondence with matching pairs of actions of (P_0, \circ_0, \oplus_0) and (P_1, \circ_1, \oplus_1) .
- Given \oplus , one constructs \searrow and \swarrow by
 - $(p_1 \searrow p_0, p_1 \swarrow p_0) = (\circ_0, p_1) \oplus (p_0, \circ_1)$
- Given \searrow and \swarrow , \oplus is defined by
 - $(p_0, p_1) \oplus (p'_0, p'_1) = (p_0 \oplus_0 (p_1 \swarrow p'_0), (p_1 \searrow p'_0) \oplus_1 p'_1)$

Combining popping and pushing

- Take $(P_0, o_0, \oplus_0) = (\text{Nat}, 0, +)$, $(P_1, o_1, \oplus_1) = (\text{List } E, [], ++)$ where E is some set.
- $es \searrow n = n - \text{length } es$,
 $es \swarrow n = \text{removelast } n \text{ } es$.
- $(n, es) \oplus (n', es')$
 $= (n + (n' - \text{length } es), (\text{removelast } n' \text{ } es) ++ es')$
- Pairs (n, es) represent net effects of sequences of pop, push instructions on a stack: some number of elements is removed from and some new specific elements are added to the stack.

Combining reading, popping, pushing

- How do I now show that
 - $TX = \text{List } E \Rightarrow \text{Nat} \times (\text{List } E \times X)$is a monad?
- This is of the form $T_0 \cdot T_1 \cdot T_2$ where
 - $T_0X = \text{List } E \Rightarrow X$
 - $T_1X = \text{Nat} \times X$
 - $T_2X = \text{List } E \times X$
- We already know that
 - $T_{01} = T_0 \cdot T_1$
 - $T_{02} = T_0 \cdot T_2$
 - $T_{12} = T_1 \cdot T_2$are compatible compositions of monads.
- We want to be sure that $(T_0 \cdot T_1) \cdot T_2$ and $T_0 \cdot (T_1 \cdot T_2)$ are compatible compositions of monads.
- Moreover, they'd better be the same monad!

- In terms of distributive laws, this only requires checking the Yang-Baxter equation:

