

# Amortised analysis of heap consumption

Olha Shkaravska

Institut of Informatics, LMU  
Munich, Germany

## Motivation and Structure of this talk

Hofmann-Jost inference system:  
inference of **linear** bounds of heap consumption.

What about non-linear bounds?

The talk:

- Amortized analysis for time, incl. Banker's algorithm
- Hofmann-Jost analysis = a Banker's algorithm with constant credits
- A Banker's algorithm for dependent credits

# Amortised Time Analysis

**Idea:** to distribute the worst-case run time of an entire sequence of operations over the operations.

Given: a sequence of  $n$  operations.

Let  $a_i$  and  $t_i$  be the amortized and actual costs of  $i$ -th operation.

$$\sum_{i=1}^j a_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^j t_i,$$

where  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

# Aggregate method

$$a_i = \frac{T(n)}{n}$$

... like we have payed in the African restaurant.

# Banker's (Accounting) method

If

$$a_i \geq t_i$$

then  $c_i = a_i - t_i$  is viewed as a **credit**.

It can be used late to pay for the operations whose amortised cost is less than their actual cost.

**Example.**

```
while not StackEmpty(S) and k <> 0
do {
    Pop(S)
    k := k - 1
}
```

# Banker's (Accounting) method

```
while not StackEmpty(S) and k <> 0
do { Pop(S); k := k-1 }
```

The actual costs,  $t_i$ -s:

Push            1

Pop             1

Multipop     $\min(s, k)$ ,

where  $s$  is a size of the stack  $S$ .

The amortized costs,  $a_i$ -s:

Push            2

Pop             0

Multipop    0

# Physicist's (Potential) method

One can associate all “prepayment” with the data structure as a whole.

Data structures:  $D_0, \dots, D_n$ :

- $D_0$  is an initial one,
- $D_i$  is a result of application of  $i$ -th operation on  $D_{i-1}$

Find a **potential function**  $\Phi : D_i \mapsto \Phi(D_i)$ , a number.

The amortised cost per op.:

$$a_i = t_i + (\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}))$$

# Physicist's (Potential) method

The amortised cost per op.:

$$a_i = t_i + (\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1}))$$

The total amortized cost is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n a_i &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i + \sum_{i=1}^n (\Phi(D_i) - \Phi(D_{i-1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n t_i + (\Phi(D_n) - \Phi(D_0))\end{aligned}$$



# Hofmann-Jost inference system

We can infer linear heap-consumption bounds:

- Given

$$f : L(\text{Int}) \rightarrow L(\text{Int})$$

- Obtain a notated, with numbers, signature

$$f : L(\text{Int}, k), k_0 \rightarrow L(\text{Int}, k'), k'_0$$

Examples:

$$\text{copy} : L(\text{Int}, 1), 0 \rightarrow L(\text{Int}, 0), 0$$

$$\text{cons} : L(\text{Int}, 0), 1 \rightarrow L(\text{Int}, 0), 0$$

$k$  is a constant credit,  $k |l|$  is a potential of the list  $l$ .

## Type system for dependent credits

$$\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$$

$$T ::= \mathbb{B} | \mathbf{L}_0(T, k) | \dots | \mathbf{L}_m(T, k) | \dots | \mathbf{L}(T, k),$$

where

- $k : \mathbf{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ ,
- $\mathbf{L}_m(T, k)$  is a not. list of length  $m$  of type  $T$ , s. t.  $i$ -th element of the list has a credit  $k(i)$ ,
- $\mathbf{L}(T, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{L}_n(T, k)$ .

# Inference for dependent credits

Typing judgment is almost the same as for HJ typing:  
 $\Gamma, n \vdash e : T, n'$

The context is mixed: with non-sized and sized types.

$$\frac{n \geq n' + 1 + k(m + 1)}{h : T, t : \mathbf{L}_m(T, k), n \vdash} \text{Cons}$$
$$\text{cons}(h, t) : \mathbf{L}_{m+1}(T, k), n'$$

# Inference for dependent credits

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, n \vdash e_1 : A, n' \\ \Gamma, h : T, \\ t : \mathbf{L}_{m-1}(T, k), n + 1 + k(m) \vdash e_2 : A, n' \end{array}}{\Gamma, l : \mathbf{L}_m(T, k), n \vdash} \text{DM}$$

match  $l$  with

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Nil} \Rightarrow e_1 : A, n' \\ | \text{Cons}@ (h, t) \Rightarrow e_2 : \end{array}$$

# Inference for dependent credits

The rule

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \Gamma, n \vdash e_1 : A, n' \\ \Gamma, n \vdash e_2 : A, n' \end{array}}{\Gamma, x : B, n \vdash \text{if } x \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : A, n'} \text{If}$$

perhaps, is not that restrictive if we have

$$\frac{\Gamma, n \vdash e : L_m(T, k), n'}{\Gamma, n \vdash e : L(T, k), n'} \text{Sum}$$

# Inference for dependent credits

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma(P) = \mathbb{L}(T, k), k_0 \xrightarrow{p} \\ \mathbb{L}(T', k'), k'_0 \\ n \geq k_0 \\ n - k_0 \geq n' - k'_0 \\ \hline \Gamma, l : \mathbb{L}_m(T, k), n \vdash \\ P(l) : \mathbb{L}_{p(m)}(T', k'), n' \end{array} \text{Fun}$$

# Inference for dependent credits

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma(P) = \mathbb{L}(T, k), k_0 \xrightarrow{p} \\ \mathbb{L}(T', k'), k'_0 \\ \hline l : \mathbb{L}_m(T, k), k_0 \vdash \text{Spec} \\ e_P : \mathbb{L}_{p(m)}(T', k'), k'_0 \end{array}$$

# Checking heap bounds

Given a program of  $L(T) \rightarrow L(T')$ .

How to check, if its heap consumption does not exceed  $O(f(x))$ , where  $x$  is a length of an input list, and  $f(x)$  is smooth?

Notate the signature

with functions of  $k, k' : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  and nat. numbers  $k_0, k'_0$ :

$L(T, k), k_0 \rightarrow L(T', k'), k'_0$

Take  $k = f'$



# Checking heap bounds $f(x)$

Take  $k = f'$  in  $\mathbb{L}(T, k)$ ,  $k_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(T', k')$ ,  $k'_0$

If type-checking for this  $k$  and some nonnegative  $k_0$ ,  $k'$ ,  $k'_0$  works (a bit of type-inference for  $k_0, k', k'_0$ ), then the program consumes up to  $O(f(x))$  heap units.

Why?

- $f(x) = \int_0^x f'(v) dv + f(0)$
- $\sum_{v=1}^x k(v)$  is a total amount of free heap units associated with an input list of length  $x$
- approximate the integral by the sum  $\sum_{v=1}^x k(v)$ ,  
rectang. approx. of the square:  
$$\left| \sum_{v=1}^x k(v) - f(x) \right| \leq C.$$

# Examples

- $f(x) = x$  for copy: we have  
 $k(x) = f'(x) \equiv 1$
- $f(x) = a \log(x + b) + c$  and  $k(x) = \frac{a}{\ln 2} \frac{1}{x+b}$   
for binary

```
binary l =  
  match l with  
  Nil => Nil  
  | Cons(h, t) => let y = binary t  
                  in binInc y
```

where ....

# To generalise type inference

```
binInc l =  
  match l with  
  Nil => Cons(1, Nil)  
  | Cons@(h, t) => if h=0 then  
                    Cons(1, t)  
                    else  
                      Cons(0, binInc t)
```

If  $\|l\| = 2^s - 1$ , for some natural number  $s$ ,  
binInc consumes exactly one heap unit,  
otherwise there is no consumption.

Consider another measure  $\mu = \|\cdot\|$ .

# Consumption on measure

$$\begin{aligned}\text{consume}(l) &= f(\mu(l)) \\ &= \int_0^{\mu(l)} f'_\mu(v) dv + f(0) \\ &\approx \sum_{v=1}^{\mu(l)} f'_\mu(v) + C\end{aligned}$$

Generally: a credit in heap units is payed pro 1 unit of growth of measure.

# Consumption on measure: the example to do

binInc

- $\mu = \|\cdot\|$ ,
- $f(x) = \lceil \log_2(x+2) \rceil - \lceil \log_2(x+1) \rceil$ ,
- $k(x) = \frac{a}{\ln 2} \frac{1}{x+2} - \frac{b}{\ln 2} \frac{1}{x+1}$
- $\mathbf{L}_d(\mathbf{B}, k), 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{d+1}(\mathbf{B}, 0), 0$ ,  
where  $d$  is a measure of an input.

## Functions of 2 arguments (back to length)

$$k_1, k_2 : \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

$k_i$ : length of the partner  $\rightarrow$  position of the element  $\rightarrow$  credit

$$\begin{array}{c} \Sigma(P) = \text{L}(T_1, k_1), \text{L}(T_1, k_2), k_0 \xrightarrow{p} \\ \text{L}(T', k'), k'_0 \\ n \geq k_0 \\ n - k_0 \geq n' - k'_0 \end{array}$$

---

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, l_1 : \text{L}_{m_1}(T, k_1(m_2)), l_2 : \text{L}_{m_2}(T, k_2(m_1)), n \vdash \\ P(l_1, l_2) : \text{L}_{p(m_1, m_2)}(T', k'), n' \end{array}$$

# Functions of 2 arguments

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \int_0^y f'_y(x, u) \, du + f(x, 0) \\ &= \int_0^y f'_y(x, u) \, du + \int_0^x f'_{0_x}(v) \, dv \\ &\quad + f_0(0) \\ &\approx \sum_{u=1}^y f'_y(x, u) + \sum_{v=1}^x f'_{0_x}(v) \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_0 := f(x, 0)$

# Functions of 2 arguments

$$f(x, y) \approx \sum_{u=1}^y f'_y(x, u) + \sum_{v=1}^x f'_{0x}(v)$$

with  $f_0 := f(x, 0)$

Let

$$k_1(y) = f'_{0x}$$
$$k_2(x) = f'_y(x, y)$$



# Example of bounds

$$f(x, y) = axy + bx + cy + d ?$$

How to answer this question?

$$k_1(y) = f'_{0x} = b$$

$$k_2(x) = f'_y(x, y) = ax + c$$

Find such  $a, b, c, d$  that for some  $k_0, k', k'_0$  type-checking works...

Let for simplicity  $k' \equiv 0, k'_0 = 0$ .

# Example: Multiplication

```
mult(l1, l2) =  
match l2 with  
  Nil => Nil  
  | Cons(h, t) => let x=mult(l1, t)  
                  in  
                  let l=copy l1  
                  in  
                  cons(l, x)
```

Typechecking works with  
 $a = 1, b = 0, c = 1, k_0 = 0$

$$L(\mathbb{B}, 0), L(\mathbb{B}, x + 1), 0 \longrightarrow L((\mathbb{B}, 0), 0), 0$$

# To Do

- Design an Inference system parametric w.r.t. measures
- The Examples: type-checking revisited
- Soundness of the inference system w.r.t. op.sem of Hofmann-Jost